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DISCLAIMER

This presentation is for informational purposes only and is intended solely to assist recipients in better understanding the Federal Program and Federal Interest regulations required by the National Telecommunication and Information Administration (NTIA). The guidance does not and is not intended to supersede, modify, or otherwise alter applicable statutory or regulatory requirements, or the specific requirements set forth in each program’s Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO).

In all cases, statutory and regulatory mandates, and the requirements set forth in the relevant program’s NOFO, shall prevail over any inconsistencies contained in the information presented.
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NEPA and NHPA Overview
What is NEPA?

Passed in 1970, the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) is considered an “umbrella law” as it provides a framework within which all other environmental, historic, and cultural resources laws can be evaluated. It set forth the requirement for environmental reviews and formally established environmental protection as a Federal policy. NEPA, as well as the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), requires federal agencies to “stop, look, and listen” before making decisions that impact historic properties and the human environment.

NEPA does not contain a mandate for conservation as other laws do; it is a process law.

- Requirements with NHPA and dozens of other environmental and historic preservation laws and Executive Orders can be addressed as part of the NEPA process.
- NEPA and NHPA review, including consultation, must be completed before awarded project can begin.
- The NEPA process requires that the government make a transparent, informed decision, but it does not require that decisions have no impacts to the environment.
- Documentation and analysis demonstrates that the government has not made an “arbitrary and capricious” decision.
Levels of NEPA Review

**Proposed Action**
- Configuration & Analysis
- Significant Impact?
  - NO
    - Categorical Exclusion (CE): Issued for defined actions that the agency has determined do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the environment.
    - Complete project description and associated information
    - Coordination and consultation, as needed
    - Review for extraordinary circumstances and analysis
    - Document appropriateness
    - Agency Action
  - YES
    - Environmental Impact Statement (EIS): Prepared for projects when the action will likely have a significant effect on the environment. This is the most comprehensive form of NEPA analysis. The final decision is documented in a Record of Decision (ROD), which codifies the final decision made, whether to approve the project or not, and the basis for that decision.
    - Notice of Intent & Scoping Process
    - Draft EIS
    - Public Comment
    - Final EIS
    - Record of Decision (ROC)
    - Agency Action

- Environmental Assessment (EA): Prepared for actions in which the significance of the environmental impact is not clearly established. Should environmental analysis find a project to have no significant impacts on the quality of the environment, a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) is issued.
  - No significant impacts
  - Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI)
  - Agency Action

- Significant Impact
  - Agency Action
NEPA Timelines

These timelines do not take into account any other outstanding agency approvals. A project is not considered cleared for NEPA until NTIA issues a decision document (CE, FONSI or ROD).

**Categorical Exclusion**

NTIA will develop after receiving information from the grantee sufficient to evaluate the appropriateness of a CE and determine whether Extraordinary Circumstances (ECs) are present. If required, all consultation must be complete before NTIA may begin evaluation. Typically 3 – 6 months to develop and deliver to NTIA. Approximately **30-45 days** for NTIA to evaluate and issue a CE.

**Environmental Assessment**

Grant recipient will develop in coordination with NTIA, approximately **3-12 months** to develop and deliver to NTIA. All consultations must be complete before a Final EA may be published. Once approved by NTIA, a 30-day public comment period will be required, and NTIA will develop a FONSI.

**Environmental Impact Statement**

Grant recipient will develop in coordination with NTIA, extensive public involvement requirements apply, approximately **12-24 months** to develop and deliver to NTIA. Public comment periods will be required in accordance with NEPA. After the Final EIS public comment concludes, NTIA will develop a ROD.
Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act

• Section 106 specifically requires federal agencies to consider the effects of projects they carry out, approve, or fund (“undertakings”) on historic properties

• Regulations issued by the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP) spell out the Section 106 review process

• Federal agencies are responsible for initiating Section 106 reviews with either the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO), Tribal Historic Preservation Office (THPO), or Native Hawaiian organization (NHO), as applicable
What is the Section 106 Process?

1. **BEGIN THE PROCESS – WILL THE ACTION POTENTIALLY IMPACT HISTORIC PROPERTIES?**
   - YES: Proceed to step 2.
   - NO: Refer to the ACHP for funding decision.

2. **IDENTIFY HISTORIC PROPERTIES – ARE HISTORIC PROPERTIES PRESENT?**
   - YES: Proceed to step 3.
   - NO: Return to step 1.

3. **ASSESS ADVERSE EFFECTS – WILL THE ACTION ADVERSELY AFFECT HISTORIC PROPERTIES?**
   - YES: Proceed to step 4.
   - NO: Return to step 2.

4. **RESOLVE ADVERSE EFFECTS – ARE ADVERSE EFFECTS RESOLVED?**
   - YES: Section 106 process concludes.
   - NO: Develop alternative or modifications, mitigate identified adverse effects. Notify ACHP and Prepare Memorandum of Agreement or Programmatic Agreement (MOA/PA). If NO, Refer to the ACHP for funding decision.
Broadband Project Types and Project Descriptions
What are common allowable broadband project types?

**Broadband Infrastructure Deployment**
- Fiber deployment (underground)
- Fiber deployment (overhead)
- Wireless deployment
- Satellite connections
- Submarine cable deployments and landing stations

**Broadband Use and Adoption**
- Personal property costs (hardware, devices, etc.)
- Technology/software (software licenses/learning platform access)
- Training (digital literacy, educational materials, etc.)
- Community Outreach/Engagement (awareness materials for training/community workshop)
- Evaluation and reporting (Data collection tools/Reporting tools/survey/study)
- Affordable broadband programs (prepayment or subsidy of services)
- Deployment of public WIFI (non-ground breaking)
- Broadband equipment installation or replacement (non-ground breaking)
Applicants must submit a detailed project description, including applicable supporting documentation, with their application package (*See following slides*).

If the project cannot be cleared with the information provided, funds may be withheld under a specific award condition until the Awardee develops and submits required environmental compliance information or analysis of environmental impacts of the project.

It is strongly recommended that applicants engage subject matter experts (SMEs) to develop their NEPA documentation if they do not have such expertise in-house.

Awardees will be required to obtain all required federal, tribal, state, and local governmental permits and approvals and complete all agency consultations prior to beginning their project – *this does not need to be done pre-award*.
Project Description

A good project description is essential for NTIA to determine the level of NEPA review required

Describe what the project is (e.g., construction of a 150’ monopole communication tower)

Describe where the project is proposed (e.g., 123 Main Street, Anytown, USA) and/or provide a detailed outline of the route the project will use

Provide a physical description of the site and surrounding area (e.g. developed land vs. open space; adjacent natural resources, such as rivers, wetlands, or forests; and any protected lands)

Describe how the project will be implemented (e.g., requiring 1-acre of ground disturbance at an approximate depth of 5 feet, and the installation of a concrete pad, an equipment shed, and an emergency generator with a 1,000-gallon above-ground fuel storage tank)
In addition to a detailed project description that includes all environmental aspects and expected impacts of your project, be sure to include the following in your application:

- Ground-level and aerial photos of the proposed project area and project plan drawings,
- **Floodplain map** from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Map Service Center with your project overlain to show if an where your project falls in the flood zone,
- **Wetlands map** from the US Fish and Wildlife Service’s (USFWS) National Wetlands Inventory with your project overlain to show if your project will impact wetlands or waterways and including buffer zones,
- Initial Consultation with State Historic Preservation Officers (SHPO) or Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPO) including a listing of any historic or archaeological resources within the vicinity of your construction activities,
- Initial Consultation with the USFWS to determine if there are threatened or endangered species or critical habitat in the vicinity of your project,
- Initial plans for assessment of potential climate risks impacting the proposed project, and mitigation actions (i.e., design changes, method of construction or other) being considered.
EHP Responsibilities and Report Guidance
EHP Review Responsibilities

NTIA Responsibilities

• NTIA is responsible for determining the appropriate level of NEPA analysis and making the determination that the analysis is sufficient.

• NTIA will provide guidance, sample documents, examples, and templates to clearly communicate requirements.

• NTIA will provide technical support and assistance and is responsible for making determinations regarding the level of NEPA analysis required for a project, as well as reviewing all documentation for technical and legal sufficiency.

• NTIA is responsible for generating and signing all final decision documents.

Grantee Responsibilities

• Grantees are critical partners in successful compliance with EHP requirements.

• Grantees are responsible for the development of the NEPA analyses and timely submission of materials.

• Grantees should ensure that proposed project timelines and budgets account for EHP compliance.
Categorical Exclusion Report

A CE may be applied by NTIA to a proposed project after NTIA has carefully reviewed and determined that the proposed project fits within the category of actions encompassed by the CEs and does not have any Extraordinary Circumstances. If needed, the CE environmental review report will be developed by the grantee and the report must analyze the reasonable likelihood that the proposed action will/will not:

- Occur within an environmentally sensitive or unique geographic area
- Impact species listed or proposed to be listed as Endangered or Threatened Species or has adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species, migratory birds or their habitats
- Affect historic, archeological, or cultural sites, including Native American Traditional Cultural Properties, properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, or land identified by archeologists as having high potential to contain archeological resources
- Restrict access to and use of Indian cultural sacred sites by Indian practitioners or adversely affects the physical integrity of such sites
- Adversely impact waterbodies, wetlands, floodplains, water quality, sole source aquifers, public water supply systems, or state, local, or tribal water quality standards established under the Clean Water Act or the Safe Drinking Water Act
The report must analyze the reasonable likelihood that the proposed action will/will not:

- Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low-income populations or minority populations.

- Involve the generation of ionizing or non-ionizing radiation or use of any radiation.

- Action is controversial because of the introduction or employment of unproven technology, highly scientifically uncertain or unique environmental effects, substantial disagreement over the possible size, nature, or effect on the environment, or likelihood of degrading already existing poor environmental conditions.

- Violate a federal, state, local, or tribal law, regulation, policy, or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment.

- Include other impacts on human health or the environment that have not been otherwise addressed.

- Involve construction on or near an active, inactive, or abandoned contaminated or hazardous waste sites.
Environmental Assessments

If the proposed project does not qualify for a CE, grantees must prepare an environmental assessment (EA). The EA is a more in-depth report that must describe and analyze the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Purpose and Need</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Description of Proposed Action and Alternatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Description of the Affected Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Analysis of Environmental Impacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Same resources as above plus <strong>Cumulative Impacts</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Applicable Environmental Permits and Regulatory Requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Consultations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Reach out to your FPO and EPO for NTIA templates and samples of Project Descriptions, CE Environmental Review Reports, and Environmental Assessments*
Consultations and Permitting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Federal</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Regional &amp; Local</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Depending upon the impact to resources, required federal permits and approvals may include the following:  
- US Army Corps of Engineers Section 10/404 – Impacts to wetlands and waterways of the US  
- SHPO and/or THPO - Section 106 consultation  
- US Coastal Zone Management - For project located within the Coastal Zone prepare a Consistency Review  
- US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) – Section 7 Consultation  
- Hazardous Materials storage and transport (federal requirements for storage, marking, labeling under OSHA, and transportation under DOT)  
- Hazardous Waste – compliance with EPA 40 CFR requirements for generation, transport and disposal | States have varying permitting requirements including:  
- State Environmental Quality or Protection Programs (EX: CEQA, MEPA, TCEQ)  
- Stormwater  
- Wetlands and Land Disturbing Permits  
- Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure (SPCC) (federal requirement but must apply to a state level)  
- Hazardous Materials storage and transport (federal and state requirements may apply) | Regional and Local Entities have permitting and approval processes including:  
- Regional Planning organizations  
- Local Noise and Idling ordinance |

Applicants are responsible for identifying and obtaining applicable Federal, State and Local permits required to conduct their project; not all permits need to be done for the NEPA process to conclude. Look to NTIA to determine what is needed prior to the conclusion of NEPA review. If consulted parties request to work directly with NTIA, NTIA will support required consultation activities. Government to Government Tribal consultation is to be initiated by NTIA.
Project Design Best Practices
Project Design

NEPA requires that a range of reasonable alternatives be considered when deciding how to implement the Proposed Action (project). This can include what was considered in the planning process, even if it was dismissed from further consideration.

Ensure project designs do not limit the choice of reasonable alternatives. Regulations require that no commitments can be made to any alternative being evaluated in the NEPA process until it has concluded.

Determine how to best incorporate EHP impact avoidance and minimization measures into project designs.

Coordinate early with federal, state, and local agencies that may be required to issue a permit for the project to determine timelines and potential red flags.
Best Practices for Minimizing Impacts

Grantees can do the following in the project planning process to avoid or minimize impacts to sensitive resources:

1. Consult the 2013 *U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Revised Voluntary Guidelines for Communication Tower Design, Siting, Construction, Operation, Retrofitting, and Decommissioning* for any portion of your project that may require towers.

2. Reach out to federal land- or resource-managing agencies early, if applicable, to determine if your proposed project that crosses those lands is feasible. These agencies can include the U.S. Forest Service, the Bureau of Land Management, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, the Bureau of Indian Affairs, the National Park Service, and others.

3. Consult the National Wetlands Inventory’s Wetlands Mapper and the US Army Corp of Engineers (USACE) Nationwide Permit Information to understand the potential presence of wetlands in your project area, and whether USACE permits may apply.

4. Consult with your State Historic Preservation Office to inform project design.

5. Plan for Tribal engagement – this is required early in the process and often!

*The most common factors that extend the EHP process are impacts to sensitive resources – understanding how to avoid or minimize those impacts is crucial for moving through the process efficiently*
Definitions

Grant recipients frequently ask if their project may be broken down into smaller components. It is essential to understand the following definitions and adhere to NTIA determinations.

**Segmentation** occurs when an agency artificially divides a major federal action into smaller components to avoid proper application of NEPA to the project components. Segmentation is a violation of NEPA and is **not** allowable.

**Connected actions** occur if portions of the project would not exist without the other project. Connected actions must be considered together to prevent dividing a project into several smaller actions ("segmentation"), each of which might have an insignificant impact when considered in isolation, but that taken as a whole, could have a substantial impact.

**Independent Utility** occurs when an action or activity can reasonably satisfy the agency's purpose and need for the project even if no other project (or related portion of the project) is implemented. Independent utility is the **exception**, not the rule, and can only be designated by NTIA.
EHP Next Steps and Resources
Next Steps

✓ Identify all appropriate landowners/agencies that must grant access for the deployment.

✓ Fully understand all required permits and processes in your area, not just focusing on broadband-related permits.

✓ Prepare early for a NEPA/EHP analysis.

✓ Engage early and schedule pre meetings with all relevant agencies to ensure application completeness.

✓ Submit all required documents and track the timelines.
Program and Project Efficiencies and Tools

Projects can gain efficiencies using programmatic agreements, shared tools, and other best practices.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECT LEVEL TOOLS</th>
<th>PROGRAM LEVEL EFFICIENCIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Examples may include:</td>
<td>Examples may include:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Tribal monitors during construction and archeology surveys</td>
<td>• MOUs with relevant Federal partners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Utilizing GPR and LIDAR for subsurface analyses</td>
<td>• PAs with State Historic Preservation Offices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Face-to-face G2G formal consultation</td>
<td>• Programmatic ESA reviews. Example: CA Middle Mile Programmatic BA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Establishment of milestones and concurrence points</td>
<td>• Funded Liaison positions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Synchronized environmental review and permitting</td>
<td>• NWP 57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Administrative DEA/DEIS reviews</td>
<td>• FAST Act Coverage where eligible and appropriate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Routing in disturbed, existing right of ways</td>
<td>• BIA Broadband National Policy Memorandum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Pre-application meetings</td>
<td>• Construction work windows for ESA species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Early surveys and right-sizing NEPA reviews</td>
<td>• Providing technical assistance on minimally invasive deployment methodologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• USFWS IPAC system, Wetlands Mapper, and other online data resources</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Closing Comments and Additional Resources

NTIA provides resources to support successful broadband project deployment.

### Internet For All Website

For detailed program information about the Internet For All Grants, use [InternetForAll.gov](https://internetforall.gov) to search by program.

### EHP Resources

NTIA has developed EHP factsheets, guides, and templates. Inquire with your FPO for these resources which will also be posted on the NTIA broadband technical assistance hub.

[Technical Assistance Hub | BroadbandUSA (doc.gov)](https://doc.gov)

For more information, visit the [BroadbandUSA State and Local Government](https://doc.gov) webpage.
Polls and Questions
Polling question #1

What is your broadband project type?

A. Aerial or Buried Fiber
B. Satellite Connection
C. Technology/software, training, or community outreach workshops
D. Submarine Cable
E. Combination of above
F. Other
Polling question #2

What is your primary concern in completing the NEPA process?

A. Project timeline impact
B. Associated costs
C. Documentation requirements
D. How the process works
E. Knowing where to even begin!
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