

Note: Form instructions and definitions will be created to support the report. Instructional guidance and training will be developed. Numbering to be updated based on final approved form.

RECIPIENT NAME	STATE OF NEVADA	OMB Control No.	OMB Control No. 0660-0052
		Expiration Date	Exp. Date: 2/28/2027

Middle Mile Grant Program Bi-Annual Performance Report				
A. GENERAL INFORMATION				
1a. Recipient Organization:	STATE OF NEVADA	1h. Award Identification Number:	32-40-MM339	
1b. Recipient Street Address:	101 N CARSON ST	1i. Report Date (MM/DD/YYYY):	06/09/2026	
1c. City, State, and Zip Code:	CARSON CITY, Nevada 89701-3713	1j. Final Report:	Yes	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
1d. Unique Entity Identification (UEI) Number:	E2N7LVJCNCW8	1k. Report Period Start Date (MM/DD/YYYY):	10/01/2025	
1e. Award Start Date (MM/DD/YYYY):	07/01/2023	1l. Report Period End Date (MM/DD/YYYY):	03/31/2026	
1f. Award End Date (MM/DD/YYYY):	06/30/2028			
1g. Name of Person Completing Report:	Britta Appel			
B. PROJECT NARRATIVE				
Please use the section below to provide a project narrative of the project(s). This section aims to help reviewers better understand what project is being proposed and steps taken to achieve this goal.				
2a. A brief description of the recipient's organization and scope of work/project priorities.	The State of Nevada ("the State") will design, build, maintain and operate the Eastern Nevada Middle Mile Fiber Network – a 431-mile open-access middle-mile fiber optic network, running north to south along US 93 where no middle-mile currently exists.			

2b. An overview of the significant outputs and outcomes to be accomplished in the project.	This open-access middle-mile network will significantly expand network capacity throughout urban, suburban, and rural regions across eastern Nevada. Operated on a non-discriminatory basis, the network will support interconnections with any provider seeking access to deliver last-mile broadband services. The proposed network will bring fiber into unserved and underserved areas and will serve as a communications pathway to many anchor institutions.
2c. How would the project meet the recipient's business and/or administrative need(s)?	The State's broadband vision is that every Nevadan has access to high-speed internet that is affordable, reliable, and scalable. The State needs significant middle mile infrastructure in order to provide necessary low-cost bandwidth to last-mile, retail providers. The project's route will pass within 10 miles of 20,594 unserved locations that lack access to services above 25/3.
2d. Provide an overview of key accomplishments achieved for this reporting period on the MM infrastructure project.	<p>During this reporting period, the State advanced multiple foundational components of the federal environmental, tribal, and land-use authorization framework required to support the Middle Mile project.</p> <p>With regards to Bureau of Land Management (BLM) permitting and National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) compliance, the State has worked closely with BLM to coordinate desk reviews, and cultural and archaeological consultations supporting the NEPA review. The State delivered shapefiles with the Area of Potential Effect (APE), a paleontological work plan is in progress.</p> <p>In addition, the State was able to gain access to BLM's archeological sites records. The State completed the records check with Nevada State Historical Preservation Office (SHPO) and pulled the Information for Planning and Consultation (IPAC) species list to begin to identify species and critical habitat that may be impacted by the project. A qualified biologist completed the desk review and scoping of any species surveys and continued coordination with BLM on those surveys. In addition to coordinating with BLM to confirm the scope of the NEPA review, the State has also continued parallel coordination efforts with the U.S. Forest Service. The State also received the Baseline Data Needs Assessment (BNAF) form from them in February.</p> <p>Tribal engagement has yielded a positive achievement with obtaining a Resolution of Consent and easement from the Ely Shoshone Tribe and submitted same to the Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) for review and approval.</p> <p>Permit applications have been submitted to the Nevada Department of Transportation (NDOT) for spans 5, 8, and 9. The remaining spans and In Line Amplification (ILA) huts have made progress toward approval. All preliminary power paths have been received by respective power companies.</p>
2e. Provide any roadblock experienced during this reporting period impacting the expansion of the MM infrastructure project (i.e., supply chain, availability of labor).	Tribal and Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) Approval Timelines. Securing Tribal Council resolutions and approval from the BIA have exceeded initial planning assumptions. As of the close of the reporting period, the Ely Shoshone Tribal Council resolution remained pending BIA approval. The BLM timelines for completing the final Baseline Data Needs Assessment Form (BNAF) were extended beyond initial assumptions. Additionally, several rounds of application comments have been submitted that do not align with previous telecommunications projects undertaken with the same office.
2f. Provide any barriers to improving job quality experienced during this reporting period.	None.

C. INFRASTRUCTURE MILESTONE CATEGORIES AND PROJECT TIMELINE

Please use the chart below to provide the start date and end date of your project.

OVERALL PROJECT	PROJECT DURATION	3a. PROJECT START DATE	3b. PROJECT END DATE
	1826	07/01/2023	06/30/2028

Site Preparation	302	2024-09-01	2025-06-30	0%	0%	25%	50%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Equipment Procurement	122	2024-03-01	2024-07-01	0%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Network Build (all components - owned, leased, Infeasible Rights of Use, etc.)	1247	2024-10-01	2028-03-01	0%	0%	5%	20%	35%	50%	65%	80%	95%	100%
Equipment Deployment	1096	2025-03-01	2028-03-01	0%	0%	0%	10%	30%	50%	70%	90%	95%	100%
Network Testing	1110	2025-03-01	2028-03-15	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Status of Procurement	1110	2025-03-01	2028-03-15	0%	0%	10%	25%	40%	55%	70%	85%	100%	100%
Other: Administrative, Legal, Misc, and Contingency	1734	2023-10-01	2028-06-30	0%	0%	20%	35%	45%	55%	65%	75%	90%	100%

ANTICIPATED PROJECT MILESTONES***				Year 6 Baseline		Year 7 Baseline		Year 8 Baseline		Year 9 Baseline		Year 10 Baseline	
3c. MILESTONE CATEGORIES	3d. DURATION (Days)	3e. START DATE	3f. END DATE	Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2

Equipment Deployment	1096	2025-03-01	2028-03-01	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Network Testing	1110	2025-03-01	2028-03-15	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Status of Procurement	1110	2025-03-01	2028-03-15	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Other: Administrative, Legal, Misc, and Contingency	1734	2023-10-01	2028-06-30	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

Please use the table provided to indicate your ACTUAL percentage of completion on a bi-annual basis for each year of your project. Year 1 begins with your award start date.

The percentage of completion should be based primarily on the expenditure of your project budget and should be reported cumulatively from award inception through the end of each semi-annual reporting period. For example, if you expect to complete a particular milestone within the first three periods of your project, the third period and all subsequent periods should state 100%.

Please provide a brief description of the primary activities involved in meeting each milestone (a single description should be provided for each milestone, covering all periods in years one through N).

*** Period 1 ends September 30 and Period 2 ends March 31.

Please write the number "0" if your project does not include an activity. If necessary, please insert additional milestones at the bottom of the chart. Please add additional milestones as applicable.

ACTUAL PROJECT MILESTONES***		Year 1		Year 2		Year 3		Year 4		Year 5		
		Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2	
4a. MILESTONE	4b. DESCRIPTION	Actual Milestone Completion (Cumulative)										
Overall Project	Nevada made progress toward network engineering and preliminary work for route design, including locations for In-Line Amplification (ILA) huts. Nevada also secured and is working with multiple agencies to finalize environmental review and has secured Tribal Resolution for the easement/ROW agreement from the Ely Shoshone Tribe.	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	3%					%

Environmental Assessment	The 20% for environmental assessment does not accurately reflect significant amount of work the State completed during the reporting period. The State conducted required consultations with federal agencies, including the BLM and US Forest Service, and continues its work to meet environmental, cultural, archeological, biological (endangered species), paleo research, and compile required information in support of the NEPA review and approval.	0%	0%	0%	0%	20%	20%				%
Network Design	This percentage is based on expenditures; however, approximate 75% of the network design has been completed. The final 25 percent (25%) of the design work is comprised of Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan, Nevada Department of Transportation revisions, and as-builts. Future survey work may require additional modifications to the network design.	0%	0%	0%	0%	75%	43%				%
Rights Of Way	The State secured a tribal Right-of-Way (ROW) through tribal lands of the Ely Shoshone Tribe. Tribal ROWs must be reviewed and approved by Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA). Acquisition of Nevada Department of Transportation ROWs are ongoing.	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%				%
Construction Permits And Other Approvals	The State has not secured construction permits.	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%				%
Site Preparation	No actual site preparation has occurred in this period.	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%				%
Equipment Procurement	No equipment has been procured during this period.	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%				%
Network Build (all components - owned, leased, Infeasible Rights of Use, etc.)	Network build activities have not started.	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%				%
Equipment Deployment	Equipment has not been deployed.	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%				%
Network Testing	No network testing has occurred in this period.	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%				%

6a. Architectural and engineering fees	\$3,253,833.69	\$2,653,936.29	\$5,907,769.98	\$1,181,042.57	\$1,079,456.39	\$2,260,498.96	36%
6a. Other architectural and engineering fees	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	N/A
6a. Project inspection fees	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	N/A
6a. Site work	\$3,816,849.25	\$3,113,150.75	\$6,930,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0%
6a. Demolition and removal	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	N/A
6a. Construction	\$22,033,469.78	\$22,693,958.98	\$44,727,428.76	\$0.00	\$96,549.14	\$96,549.14	0%
6a. Equipment	\$8,071,310.84	\$6,583,232.85	\$14,654,543.69	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0%
6a. Miscellaneous	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	N/A
6a. Subtotal	\$40,420,694.55	\$41,225,981.04	\$81,646,675.59	\$1,348,255.50	\$1,348,255.52	\$2,696,511.02	3%
6a. Contingencies	\$3,126,764.83	\$2,321,478.34	\$5,448,243.17	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0%
6a. Totals	\$43,547,459.38	\$43,547,459.38	\$87,094,918.76	\$1,348,255.50	\$1,348,255.52	\$2,696,511.02	3%

E. COMMUNITY BENEFIT AGREEMENT

As stated in the MM Grant Program NOFO a Community Benefit Agreement (CBA) is an agreement signed by community benefit groups and a developer, identifying the community benefits a developer agrees to deliver, in return for community support of the project.

Please use the fields below to state the Community Benefit Group and Developer Name and describe the activities in how this partnership has supported with the Middle Mile Infrastructure project (i.e. wage agreements, targeting hiring of apprentices and disadvantaged groups in labor marker, education and training opportunities, sub-contracting to local small business for construction, services, and supply chain needs).

Description of Community Agreement

7a. Community Benefit Group Name: Please provide the name of the Community Benefit Group

7b. Developer Name: Please provide the name of the Developer.

7c. Community Benefit Group and Developer Partnership: Please describe in the space below the nature of the partnership and how the MM grant funds being used are assisting to provide community support for the infrastructure project.

These questions were answered via file upload.
Number of Community Agreements: 0
File(s) Uploaded with Responses:

F. CLIMATE RESILIENCE

Recipients must demonstrate that they have sufficiently accounted for current and future weather and climate related risks to new MM infrastructure projects. At present, weather and climate related risks to broadband networks include wildfires, extreme heat and cold, inland and coastal flooding, and the extreme winds produced by weather events such as tornadoes, hurricanes, and other weather events. Because retrofitted and new infrastructure for broadband might be expected to have a lifetime of 20 years or more, recipients must account not only for current risks but also for how the frequency, severity, and nature of these extreme events may plausibly evolve as our climate continues to change over the coming decades.

Climate Resiliency Risk Mitigation

This purpose of this section is for the recipient to demonstrate that they have sufficiently accounted for current and future weather and climate-related risks to new MM infrastructure projects. In particular, each recipient should demonstrate how they've addressed the known and identifiable risks of current and future projected weather and climate conditions through measures such as (but not limited to) choice of a technology platform suitable to the climate risk of the region, reliance on alternatives siting of facilities (i.e., underground construction where appropriate), retrofitting, or hardening of existing assets, and use of network redundancy to safeguard against threats to infrastructure.

8a. Were any geographic areas identified for this reporting period subject to an initial and/or updated hazard screening for future weather and climate related risk? If so, please provide the date of the screening and provide related documentation as an attachment to this report.

No			
8b. Climate Resilience Category	8c. Date of Most Recent Hazard Screening	8d. Name and Title of Representative Completing Most Recent Hazard Screening	8e. Date of Report Completion
No files uploaded for Hazard Screening.			
8f. Identified Risk: For your MM project, what are the potential weather and climate hazards that may be most important to be addressed that could impact the resiliency of the middle mile infrastructure deployed (i.e. wildfires, extreme heat and cold, inland and coastal flooding, extreme winds: tornadoes, hurricanes and other weather events)?			
<p>Nevada is in the southwest climate region (1), dominated by impacts of drought, rising urban temperatures (2), and increasing wildfire events (3). The proposed fiber build in eastern Nevada will traverse key regional groundwater resources (4, 5, 6) as well as some of the State’s most vulnerable areas for fire (7) and flash flooding (8). The compound risk of post-wildfire debris flows from flash flooding is well known (9), and groundwater management is perhaps the key climate issue for the region (10). Key risks of wildfire and flash flooding dominate the southern half of the route (combined risk index of 38.5) (11), requiring siting and construction mitigation in key flood zones associated with the Las Vegas Wash, Meadow Valley Wash, and White River drainages (12). Above-ground infrastructure is particularly prone in the north to wildfire risk in midelevations associated with pinyon-juniper woodlands and heavy sagebrush cover.</p>			
8g. Weather and Climate Hazards: Were any significant climate or weather hazards experienced during this reporting period (i.e., floods, tornados) impacting infrastructure buildout or service? Briefly describe how you monitored for weather and climate caused issues for the reliability of the system. If so, please provide the date of the disaster, location and backup documentation related (i.e., news articles).			
<p>No</p> <p>No. N/A</p>			
8h. Risks to Deployment of New Infrastructure: Has the team identified any risks impacting the deployment of new or repaired infrastructure due to current and future weather and climate-related threats during this reporting period?			

No
8i. Risk Mitigation: How will the project avoid and/or mitigate the risk identified? If not applicable, please explain why.
N/A
8j. Additional Information: Is there any additional information you would like to share during this reporting period that the grant team should be aware of regarding the management of sustainable climate resiliency for your MM project?
N/A
8k. Additional Resources Has the team utilized the available resources to assist with mitigation and long-term planning efforts for this reporting period? If so, which resources? 2018 National Climate Assessment NOAA's 2022 State Climate Summaries NOAA Disaster and Risk Mapping Tool NOAA's Storms Event Database NOAA Climate Explorer and Digital Coast FEMA National Risk Index Consulted FEMA-approved Hazard Mitigation Plans prepared by states in which they propose to build middle mile infrastructure to help identify key risk and hazards
No

G. Workforce
For projects receiving over \$5,000,000 (based on expected total cost), as determined by the U.S. Secretary of Labor by subchapter IV of chapter 31 of title 40, United States Code (commonly known as the "Davis-Bacon Act"), all laborers and mechanics employed by contractors and subcontractors in the performance of such project are paid wages at rates not less than those prevailing.
Davis-Bacon Certification

9a. Does the recipient have access to the information requested (all laborers and mechanics employed by contractors and subcontractors in the performance of such project are paid wages at rates not less than those prevailing?) No

Local Hire Prioritization and Impact

Local hiring is a goal or requirement to hire people who live close to the place of work. This aim is often more specifically structured as a requirement for contractors awarded certain types of publicly funded projects to recruit a certain proportion of the people working on the project from a particular area. Please **provide all direct hires and contractors supporting** the MM Infrastructure project.

Please use the table below to describe how the project prioritizes local hiring.

Hires by Race, Ethnicity and Sex	Number of Hires																				Totals
	Race/Ethnicity																				
	9b. Hispanic or Latino			9c. Non-Hispanic/Non-Latino																	
				9c-1. Men							9c-2. Women										
9b-1. Men	9b-2. Women		White	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Asian	Native American or Alaska Native	Two or More Races	White	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Asian	Native American or Alaska Native	Two or More Races							
Number of Local Direct Hires	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						0
Number of Non-Local Direct Hires	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						0
Percentage of Local Direct Hires on Award	0%	0%		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%						

Number of Local Subcontractors	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							0	
Number of Non-Local Subcontractors	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								0
Percentage of Local Subcontractors on Award	0%	0%		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%								

Davis-Bacon Act Wages	
Please confirm if wages are at least prevailing*	
*As stated in the MM NOFO as determined by the U.S. Secretary Labor in accordance with subchapter IV of chapter 31 of title 40, United States Code (commonly known as the "Davis-Bacon Act"), for the corresponding classes of laborers and mechanics employed on projects of a character similar to the contract work in the civil subdivision of the State (or the District of Columbia) in which the work is to be performed.	
10a. Are wage rates at least the Davis-Bacon prevailing wage for all laborers?	Yes
10b. Please cite your source of how this information was gathered (for 10a).	Construction has not started, but the contract specifies that Davis-Bacon is required.
10c. Are wage rates at least the prevailing wage for all mechanics?	Yes

10d. Please cite your source of how this information was gathered (for 10c).	Construction has not started but the contract specifies that Davis-Bacon is required.
10e. If you answered "No" to either 10a. or 10c., please provide an attachment reporting the wages and benefits of workers on the project by job classification, and whether those wages are less than the prevailing wage.	

Workforce Demographic Data																					
Jobs by Race, Ethnicity and Sex	Number of Jobs																				Totals
	Race/Ethnicity																				
	11-a. Hispanic or Latino			11b. Non-Hispanic/Non-Latino																	
				11b-1. Men							11b-2. Women										
	11a-1. Men	11a-2. Women		White	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Asian	Native American or Alaska Native	Two or More Races	White	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Asian	Native American or Alaska Native	Two or More Races						
Jobs Created	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						0

Workforce Demographic Data

Jobs Retained	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							0
----------------------	---	---	--	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

Unionized Workforce

12-a. Does this project include some workforce elements that are unionized?	No
12-b. Are workers provided access to union educators/organizers on employer property or during the work day?	No
12-c. Does your MM project utilize a project labor agreement?	No
12-d. Did workers receive additional information or training about their workplace rights in addition to already required notice postings?	No

**H. Workforce Continuity Plan
National Labor Relations Act (29 U.S.C. 158 (f))**

As stated in the MM NOFO, if a recipient has not provided a certification that a project either will use a unionized project workforce or included a project labor agreement, meaning a pre-hire collective bargaining agreement consistent with section 8(f) of the National Labor Relations Act (29 U.S.C. 158 (f)), then the recipient must provide a project workforce continuity plan.

Workforce Continuity Plan

13a. Please describe the steps taken to ensure the project has ready access to a sufficient supply of appropriately skilled and unskilled labor to ensure construction is completed skillfully throughout the project's life (as required in Section III.B of the MM NOFO). As stated in the MM NOFO, the middle mile grant recipient is capable of carrying out the proposed project in a competent manner, including a plan to attract or retain an appropriate skilled and credentialed workforce.

The State continues to collaborate with the State workforce system on workforce needs.

For your MM project, please provide a brief description of efforts made to attract, train or retain a skilled and credentialed workforce.

The State convened a Broadband Workforce Sector Council on December 5, 2023 and met with over 50 stakeholders regarding efforts the State would take to ensure a sufficient supply of appropriately skilled and unskilled labor to ensure construction is completed skillfully throughout the project's life. Based on feedback received, the State began the creation of a workforce development plan and will continue to work on development of the plan.

Has the team offered any of the following resources to assist with maintaining a sufficient supply of appropriately skilled labor force for this reporting period? If so, which resources (please provide a brief description of any of the following that apply):

- Professional Certifications
- In-House Training
- Registered Apprenticeships
- Labor-Management Partnerships
- Partnerships with entities like unions, community colleges, or community-based groups

N/A

13b. Please describe below, the steps taken to minimize risks of labor disputes and disruptions that would jeopardize the timeliness and cost-effectiveness of completing the MM project.

The State requires high labor standards designed to minimize risks of labor disputes and disruptions.

13c. Please describe below the steps to ensure a safe and healthy workplace that avoids delays and costs associated with workplace illnesses, injuries, and fatalities.

The State requires high labor standards that require adherence to best practices that promote a healthy and safe workforce, including mandatory worker safety training.

13d. For your MM project, please provide a brief description below of efforts made to ensure a safe and healthy workplace.

Although construction has not yet started, the State requires high labor standards that require adherence to best practices that promote a safe and healthy workplace. The State's contracts require compliance with State statutes governing workplace safety and healthy workspaces.

Has the team offered any of the following resources to assist with maintaining a safe and healthy workplace for this reporting period? If so, which resources (please provide a brief description of any of the following that apply):

- Safety Training
- Certifications and/or Licensure Requirements for all relevant works (e.g., OSHA 10, OSHA 30, confined space, traffic control, or other training required of workers employed by contractors)
- Issues raised by workplace safety committees and their resolutions

N/A

Subcontracted Entities Information

As stated in the MM NOFO, if a recipient has not provided a certification that a project either will use a unionized project workforce or included a project labor agreement, meaning a pre-hire collective bargaining agreement consistent with section 8(f) of the National Labor Relations Act (29 U.S.C. 158 (f)), then the recipient must provide a project workforce continuity plan.

13e. Please provide the name(s) below of any subcontracted entities performing work on the project, and the total number of workers employed by each entity.

13e-1. Name of Subcontracted Entity Performing Work	Status	13e-2. Total Number of Workers within this Subcontract	13e-3. Job Categories of Workers Supporting Project within this Subcontract
Zayo Group, LLC	Active	12	General Manager and Senior VP, Public Sector and Government Affairs; General Counsel; Head of Long Haul OSP; Director, Long Haul Facilities; Sr. Program Manager; Grants Project Manager; Operations Lead, Director -Long Haul; Project Manager, ILA Construction; Director of Operations, Region Director, Strategic Funding; Senior Project Manager, OSP Long Haul; Project Manager, OSP Long Haul. The complete list of all subcontracted laborers and workers is not being reported in Section G9 (Workforce). The State will start reporting at such time as construction starts.

13f. Please describe below the steps taken to ensure that workers on the project receive wages and benefits sufficient to secure an appropriately skilled workforce in the context of the local and regional labor market.

Construction has not started. The contract requires compliance with Davis-Bacon.

I. ANCHOR INSTITUTIONS

Please provide Anchor Institution (AI) data for the current period only (not cumulative). Please add rows as needed.

14a. Anchor Institution Name	No files were uploaded for this nonobligatory section.
14b. Street Address	
14c. City	
14d. State	
14e. Type of Anchor Institution	
14f. Interconnection with 1,000 Feet of AI Enabling Gig Symmetrical Service	

14g. Narrative Description of how the Anchor Institution may benefit from the Grant Funded Infrastructure

J. BROADBAND ACCESS KEY INDICATOR: SUBSCRIBERS AND SPEED

Please use the following table to provide anticipated key indicators with the projected totals for each beneficiary category, access type and speed category for your infrastructure service or project. Except as indicated, information should be reported cumulatively from award inception through the end of the bi-annual period for Bi-Annual Indicators. Please write the number "0" if your project does not include this indicator.

*** Period 1 ends September 30 and Period 2 ends March 31.

PROJECTED NUMBER OF SUBSCRIBERS AND SPEED	Year 1		Year 2		Year 3		Year 4		Year 5	
	Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2
15a. Anchor Institutions (AIs)***										
15a-1. Total Number of AIs passed	0	0	0	0	0	0				
15a-2 Number of AIs within 1,000 feet of the middle mile infrastructure	0	0	0	0	0	0				
15a-3. Total number of AIs served	0	0	0	0	0	0				
15a-4. AIs with new access	0	0	0	0	0	0				
15a-5. AIs with improved access	0	0	0	0	0	0				
15a-6. Total number of AIs served with speeds of at least 1/1Gbps	0	0	0	0	0	0				
15b. Broadband Wholesalers or Last Mile Providers***										
15b-1. Total number of broadband wholesalers or last mile providers served	0	0	0	0	0	0				%

15b-2 Broadband wholesalers or last mile providers with new access	0	0	0	0	0	0				%
15b-3. Broadband wholesalers or last mile providers with improved access	0	0	0	0	0	0				%
15b-4. Total number of broadband wholesalers or last mile providers offering speeds of at least 25/3 Mbps	0	0	0	0	0	0				%
15b-5. Total number of broadband wholesalers or last mile providers offering speeds of at least 100/20 Mbps	0	0	0	0	0	0				%
15b-6. Total number of broadband wholesalers or last mile providers offering speeds of at least 1/1 Gbps	0	0	0	0	0	0				%

PROJECTED NUMBER OF SUBSCRIBERS AND SPEED	Year 6		Year 7		Year 8		Year 9		Year 10	
ACCESS TYPE	Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2
15a. Anchor Institutions (AIs)***										
15a-1. Total Number of AIs passed										
15a-2 Number of AIs within 1,000 feet of the middle mile infrastructure										
15a-3. Total number of AIs served										
15a-4. AIs with new access										
15a-5. AIs with improved access										
15a-6. Total number of AIs served with speeds of at least 1/1Gbps										
15b. Broadband Wholesalers or Last Mile Providers***										

15b-1. Total number of broadband wholesalers or last mile providers served										
15b-2 Broadband wholesalers or last mile providers with new access										
15b-3. Broadband wholesalers or last mile providers with improved access										
15b-4. Total number of broadband wholesalers or last mile providers offering speeds of at least 25/3 Mbps										
15b-5. Total number of broadband wholesalers or last mile providers offering speeds of at least 100/20 Mbps										
15b-6. Total number of broadband wholesalers or last mile providers offering speeds of at least 1/1 Gbps										

K. BROADBAND ACCESS KEY INDICATOR: NETWORK BUILD PROGRESS

Please use the following table to provide anticipated key indicators and progress of your Infrastructure project. Except as indicated, information should be reported cumulatively from award inception through the end of the bi-annual period. Please write the number "0" if your project does not include this indicator.

*** Period 1 ends September 30 and Period 2 ends March 31.

NETWORK BUILD PROGRESS***	Year 1		Year 2		Year 3		Year 4		Year 5	
KEY INDICATOR	Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2
16a. Total of new fiber miles (aerial or buried)	0	0	0	0	0	0				
16b. Total of fiber miles leased	0	0	0	0	0	0				
16c. Total of existing fiber miles upgraded	0	0	0	0	0	0				

16f. Total number of new interconnection points										
16g. Total number of signed agreements with broadband wholesalers or last mile providers										
16h. Total of potential agreements (i.e., agreements currently being negotiated) with broadband wholesalers or last mile providers (This Total should NOT be reported cumulatively)										

L. QUANTIFIABLE METRICS

Quantifiable Metrics - Section designed to assist with **reporting** and **audit** purpose to quantify how much progress was made and track the location of where the progress was made.
 *** Period 1 ends September 30 and Period 2 ends March 31.

17a. Fiber Optic Based ***	Year 1		Year 2		Year 3		Year 4		Year 5	
	Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2
17a-1. Is the fiber a buried/aerial or undersea application?	Buried	Buried	Buried	Buried	Buried	Buried				
17a-2. Number of strands deployed	0	0	0	0	0	0				
17a-3. Number of miles of buried fiber deployed	0	0	0	0	0	0				
17a-4. Number of miles of aerial fiber deployed	0	0	0	0	0	0				
17a-5. Estimated capacity of fiber (i.e. throughput)	0	0	0	0	0	0				
17a-6. Deployment cost per mile of buried fiber optics	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00				

17a-10. Total spent on Fiber Deployment this reporting period										
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

17a. Fiber Optic Based *, Long Text Responses and File Uploads**

Current Period (Year 3, Period 2)	
17a-11. Please provide any additional information about the Fiber Optic deployment (200 words or less)	Construction has not started.
17a-12. Please provide the digital mappings (e.g., CAD, Revit, KMZ, KML) for the new aerial fiber and buried fiber equipment installed during this reporting period.	

17b. Microwave Based ***	Year 1		Year 2		Year 3		Year 4		Year 5	
	Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2
17b-1. How many microwave nodes have been deployed?	0	0	0	0	0	0				
17b-2. How many microwave nodes are operating for reporting period?	0	0	0	0	0	0				
17b-3. Installation cost per microwavable node	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00				
17b-4. Number of new towers built to support microwave structure	0	0	0	0	0	0				
17b-5. If applicable, what type of tower was constructed (a) Monopole (b) Self-Support, (c) Guyed, or (d) Other during this reporting period?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
17b-6. Average cost per tower installed	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00				
17b-7. Total spend on Tower deployment this reporting period	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00				

17b-8. Total spend on microwave deployment this reporting period	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00				
---	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--	--	--	--

17b. Microwave Based ***	Year 6		Year 7		Year 8		Year 9		Year 10	
	Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2
17b-1. How many microwave nodes have been deployed?										
17b-2. How many microwave nodes are operating for reporting period?										
17b-3. Installation cost per microwavable node										
17b-4. Number of new towers built to support microwave structure										
17b-5. If applicable, what type of tower was constructed (a) Monopole (b) Self-Support, (c) Guyed, or (d) Other during this reporting period?										
17b-6. Average cost per tower installed										
17b-7. Total spend on Tower deployment this reporting period										
17b-8. Total spend on microwave deployment this reporting period										

17b. Microwave *, Long Text Responses and File Uploads**

Current Period (Year 3, Period 2)

17b-9. If you answered "Other" to question 17b-5 or if it is a combination of multiple types, please provide a detailed narrative description detailing what type of tower or what combination of towers is used for the project and the associated costs. (200 words or less).	N/A
--	-----

17b-10. Please provide the digital mappings (e.g., CAD, Revit, KMZ, KML) for the microwave nodes created during this reporting period.	
---	--

17c. Satellite ***	Year 1		Year 2		Year 3		Year 4		Year 5	
	Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2
17c-1. What satellite provider is being used?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
17c-2. What is the estimated capacity of the satellite link (i.e. throughput)?	0	0	0	0	0	0				
17c-3. What is the associated cost to use this satellite service?	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00				

17c. Satellite ***	Year 6		Year 7		Year 8		Year 9		Year 10	
	Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2	Period 1	Period 2
17c-1. What satellite provider is being used?										
17c-2. What is the estimated capacity of the satellite link (i.e. throughput)?										
17c-3. What is the associated cost to use this satellite service?										

17c. Satellite *, Long Text Responses and File Uploads**

Current Period (Year 3, Period 2)

17c-4. Please provide any additional information about the Satellite deployment (200 words or less)	N/A
--	-----

17c-5. Please provide the digital mappings (e.g., CAD, Revit, KMZ, KML) for the satellite network accessed during this reporting period.

Certifications

18. Please provide certification evidencing compliance with Federal labor and employment laws along with the requirements of Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act and Middle Mile Grant Program, for the bi-annual period for which this report is being filed.

The State is committed to ensuring compliance with federal labor and employment laws, and all requirements of the Infrastructure Investment & Jobs Act Middle Mile Grant Program. The State has made compliance a requirement in its contracts.

19. Please provide certification evidencing compliance with the Build America, Buy America Act. The Build America, Buy America Act requires that all of the iron, steel, manufactured products (including but not limited to fiber-optic communications facilities), and construction materials used in the project or other eligible activities are produced in the United States unless a waiver is granted.

The State is committed to ensuring compliance with the Build America, Buy America Act (BABA). The State has made compliance with the BABA Act a requirement in its contract.

File Uploaded: MMG Inventory Report_Period Ending 03.31.2026 (2) (1).xlsx, MMG Inventory Report_Period Ending 03.31.2026.xlsx, MMG Inventory Report_Period Ending 03.31.2026.xlsx, MMG Inventory Report_Period Ending 03.31.2026 (2).xlsx

20. I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct and complete for performance of activities for the purposes set forth in the award documents.

20a. Typed or Printed Name and Title of Authorized Certifying Official:

Britta Appel

20b. Signature of Certifying Official:

Britta Appel

20c. Telephone (area code, number and extension):

775-297-5293

20d. Email Address:

bappel@gov.nv.gov

20e. Date:

06/09/2026